RECEIVED © 001
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

EXPEDITED EXAMINING PROCEDURE

JAN 0-9 2007 PATENT Docket No. 58328US002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):	Leigh E. WOOD et al.)	Group Art U	nit:	3677				
Serial No.: Confirmation	10/674,174 No.: 1946)	Examiner:	Ruth (C. Rodriguez				
Filed:	29 September 2003)							
For:	CLOSURE SYSTEM AND	METHOD OF MANUFACTURE							
FACSIMULE TRANSMISSION TO THE PTO									
Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450			FAX NUMBER: (571) 273-8300 Total Pages (including cover page): 6 pgs. Time: il-la.a.a. (Central Time) (Transmission must be complete by midnight eastern time.)						
	papers are being transmitted Response Under 37 C.F.R. §			emark O	Office by facsimile				
months to ent	er this a PETITION FOR I or these papers and please count No. 13-4895.								
9 JA Date	N 2007	By: Kevin Reg. N	mg, Raasch & C W. Raasch Io. 35,651 Dial (612)305	2_	, P.A.				
paper(s), as descri Patent and Trader	UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8: The unibed hereinabove, are being transmork Office addressed to the Mail 2313-1450, on this 9th day of	nitted by fa	acsimile in accord	lance with	37 CFR §1.6(d) to the				
9 Januar Date	2007	Signatu Name:	ire: <u>R. Gaz</u> Racke (C	hen S	<u>3</u> li				
If you do not reco	rive all pages, please contact us at		1220 (ph) or (612)985-122	8 (fax).				

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 0 9 2007

OFFICIAL

Expedited Examining Procedure Group 3677

PATENT Docket No. 58328US002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):	Leigh E. WOOD et al.)	Group Art Unit: 3677		
)			
Serial No.:	10/674,174)	Examiner:	Ruth C. Rodriguez	
Confirmation	No.: 1946)			
		·)			
Filed:	29 September 2003) .	•		
)			
For:	CLOSURE SYSTEM A	ND METH	OD OF MAN	NUFACTURE	

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed 10 October 2006, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the obviousness rejections of claims 1-3, 7-15, and 29-44 as discussed below.

Claims 1-3, 7-15, and 29-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 669 121 A1 (EP '121) in view of Dilnik et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,656,111). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Claims 29-43

Applicants respectfully submit that the combination of features recited in claims 29-43 is not taught or suggested by either EP 0 669 121 or Dilnik et al. (alone or in combination). As a result, a *prima facie* case of obviousness of claims 29-43 has not been presented. Independent claims 31 and 43 both recite a closure system that includes an overlap region in which a portion